Case 2:09-mj-06209-DKD Document 10 Filed 05/21/09

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

COPY

CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

WO

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Alfr	<u>edo O</u>	suna-Castillo	Case Number:	09-6209M-002		
	rdance w ablished:		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31- (Check one or both, as applicable.)	42(f), a detention hearing has t	peen held. I conclude that the following facts		
	/-		nvincing evidence the defendar his case.	nt is a danger to the communit	y and require the detention of the defendant		
	•	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT					
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	(1)		fendant has been convicted of if a circumstance giving rise to		ocal offense that would have been a federal d) that is		
			a crime of violence as defined	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
			an offense for which the maxir	num sentence is life imprisonr	nent or death.		
			an offense for which a maximu	um term of imprisonment of ter	n years or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed a described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142	iter the defendant had been cc (f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable st	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.		
	(2)	The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.					
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.					
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of condition reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has rebutted this presumption.			no condition or combination of conditions will nity. I further find that the defendant has not		
			,	Alternative Findings			
	(1)	There i	s probable cause to believe tha	t the defendant has committed	d an offense		
			for which a maximum term of	imprisonment of ten years or r	nore is prescribed in²		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)				
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumpt conditions will reasonably assure the appearance.			presumption established by fi appearance of the defendant a	nding 1 that no condition or combination of s required and the safety of the community.		
				Alternative Findings			
Ø	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assume the appearance of the defendant as required.					
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.					
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).					
	(4)						

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

2 Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or

⁽c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Case 2:09-mj-06209-DKD Document 10 Filed 05/21/09 Page 2 of 3

WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

7	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing
	(1)	evidence as to danger that:
ע	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
	I	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
	4	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
		If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		The defendant lives and works in Mexico.
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	The	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
	In ad	dition:

time of the hearing in this matter.

Page 2 of 3

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the

Case 2:09-mj-06209-DKD Document 10 Filed 05/21/09 Page 3 of 3

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: 5-21-09

LAWRENCE O. AND ERSON United States Magistrate Judge